LETTERS PACS numbers: 78.40.Fy; 78.47.+p DOI: 10.1070/QE2001v031n07ABEH002004

## Structural transitions in GaAs during irradiation by a 100-fs laser pulse

S I Kudryashov, V I Emel'yanov

Abstract. It is shown experimentally for the first time that the pumping of a GaAs sample by a 100-fs laser pulse causes plasma-induced bandgap collapse and `cold' melting of the material during the pulse.

Keywords: semiconductors, electron-hole plasma, femtosecond laser-induced structural transitions, bandgap collapse

We experimentally observed in Ref[. \[1\]](#page-1-0) the plasma-induced bandgap collapse and the `cold' melting of a Si(100) sample during irradiation by a 100-fs pump laser pulse. A similar possibility of ultrafast 'cold' melting and structural transitions to new crystal phases was theoretically predicted for GaAs  $[2-4]$ ; however, as far as we know, these phenomena were not yet observed in this semiconductor.

We studied laser-induced structural transitions in GaAs using a standard femtosecond laser system of the Institute of Laser and Plasma Physics, Essen University, Germany. The laser was similar to those described in Ref[. \[5\].](#page-1-0) It consisted of a master oscillator and ampliéers (regenerative and multipass), which used sapphire crystals. The laser produced 800-nm laser pulses (the fundamental emission with frequency  $\omega$ ) with 10-Hz repetition rate, which were approximately 100 fs long and had an energy of up to 1.5 mJ ( $TEM_{00}$  mode). The relative amplitude of parasitic pulses was below  $5\% - 7\%$ .

Polarised (s or p polarisation) focused pump radiation at the fundamental frequency in the form of single pulses, which were separated by a synchronised electromechanical shutter, was directed at an angle of  $45^{\circ}$  to a target made of undoped GaAs(100). The target was translated after each laser pulse. The energy of specularly reflected radiation was measured with a pyroelectric detector at different energies of incident radiation.

The experimental dependences of reflectivities  $R_s^{\omega}$  and  $R_p^{\omega}$  on the pump pulse energy were processed to eliminate their spatial averaging caused by a nonuniform distribution of pump energy density  $F$  in the light spot of the TEM<sub>00</sub> mode on the target. The resulting reflectivities  $R_{1s}^{\omega}$  and  $R_{1p}^{\omega}$ are shown in Fig. 1 as functions of the effective (integrated

S I Kudryashov, V I Emel'yanov Department of Physics, M V Lomonosov Moscow State University, Vorob'evy gory, 119899 Moscow, Russia; e-mail: emel@em.msk.ru; sergeikudryashov@chat.ru

Received 20 March 2001 Kvantovaya Elektronika 31 (7)  $565 - 566$  (2001) Translated by A N Kirkin

over a pulse) pump energy density  $F_{\text{eff}} = (1 - R_{\text{Is,p}}^{\omega})F$ . Using this procedure, we were able to compare the parts of these curves corresponding to identical sample excitation conditions.



Figure 1. Plots of GaAs reflectivities  $R_{1s}^{\omega}(F)$ ,  $R_{1p}^{\omega}(F)$ ,  $R_{2s}^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}})$ , and  $R_{\text{2p}}^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}})$ .

Because of the 'self-action' of laser radiation [\[6, 7\],](#page-1-0) which consists in a change in optical characteristics of a semiconductor during a pump laser pulse, the reflectivities  $R_{1s}^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}})$  and  $R_{1p}^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}})$  are averaged in time within the pump-pulse duration. To eliminate this averaging, we performed the graphical processing of the curves (the time T-transform) according to the expressions

$$
R_{1s,p}^{\omega}(F_{\rm eff}) = \int_{F_{\rm eff1}}^{F_{\rm eff2}} R_{2s,p}^{\omega}(F') dF' \left[ \int_{F_{\rm eff1}}^{F_{\rm eff2}} dF' \right]^{-1}, \tag{1}
$$

$$
R_{2s,p}^{\omega}(F_{\rm eff}) = R_{1s,p}^{\omega}(F_{\rm eff}) + \frac{dR_{1s,p}^{\omega}(F_{\rm eff})}{dF_{\rm eff}}F_{\rm eff},\tag{2}
$$

where  $R_{2s}^{\omega}$  and  $R_{2p}^{\omega}$  are the 'true' reflectivities of the sample for the instantaneous  $F_{\text{eff}}(t)$ , which represents the integral of radiation intensity over the time  $t$  within a laser pulse; and  $F_{\text{eff1}}$  and  $F_{\text{eff2}}$  are the boundaries of the integration region for which the transform is valid. Note that the transformation of the dependences of  $R_{1s}^{\omega}$  and  $R_{1p}^{\omega}$  on  $F_{\text{eff}}$  according to (1), (2) assumes a nonstationary optical excitation of GaAs, when one may neglect diffusion and recombination contributions in the kinetic equation for the density of electron-hole plasma. The conditions of applicability of this approximation were discussed in our pape[r \[1\].](#page-1-0)

The dependences  $R_{2s}^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}}(t))$  and  $R_{2p}^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}}(t))$  have two well-pronounced minima in the region of low  $F_{\text{eff}}(t)$  (below 0.2 J cm<sup>-2</sup>) at 0.02-0.03 J cm<sup>-2</sup> and 0.06-0.08 J cm<sup>-2</sup>. Note that the presence of one minimum and a subsequent increase in linear reflectivity with increasing  $F$  was many times experimentally observed in semiconductors, and this behaviour was attributed to the achievement of the edge of plasma reflection [\[6,](#page-1-0) 8]. However, two distinct minima observed here for the dependences  $R_{2s}^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}}(t))$  and  $R_{2p}^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}}(t))$ suggest a new interpretation of these features. For this purpose, we used these dependences and calculated by Fresnel formulas the optical constants  $n^{\omega}$  and  $k^{\omega}$  for excited GaAs (Fig. 2a). According to the dependences  $n^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}}(t))$ and  $k^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}}(t))$ , two minima of the curves  $R_{2s}^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}}(t))$  and  $R_{2p}^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}}(t))$  correspond to two strong linear-absorption bands because one may neglect for  $F_{\text{eff}}(t) = 0.02 - 0.1$  $J \text{ cm}^{-2}$  the two-photon absorption and free-carrier absorption, which are lower than the residual absorption between the peaks of  $k^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}}(t))$ .

<span id="page-1-3"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 2. Dependences of the real  $(n^{\omega})$  and imaginary  $(k^{\omega})$  parts of the refractive index of GaAs on  $F_{\text{eff}}(t)$  (a) and the products  $n^{\omega}k^{\omega}$  for excited GaAs on  $F_{\text{eff}}(t)$  and  $n(\omega)k(\omega)$  for the unexcited sample on the photon energy  $\hbar\omega$  (b).

Taking into account the plasma-induced red shift of the linear absorption spectrum of semiconductors at high electron-hole plasma densities  $[9, 10]$ , it is reasonable to assume that we recorded during a 100-fs pump pulse both bands ( $E_1$  and  $E_2$ ) of interband transitions  $L_{3'} \rightarrow$  $L_1$  and  $X_4 \rightarrow X_1$  in GaAs with maxima at 3.0 eV and 4.75 eV, respectively [\[11\].](#page-1-2) This shift of the spectrum, which corresponds to the bandgap collapse in GaAs, was observed earlier in Ref. [\[9\]](#page-1-3) for a probe pulse delayed by more than 0.3 ps, the process duration decreasing with increasing F.

Although the values of  $\varepsilon_1^{\omega}$  and  $\varepsilon_2^{\omega}$  calculated by us for excited GaAs using the dependences  $n^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}}(t))$  and  $k^{\omega}(F_{\text{eff}}(t))$  agree well with the corresponding data of Ref. [9, [10\]](#page-1-0), we independently tested the assumptions concerning the bandgap collapse in GaAs and the red shift of its bands  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ : the product  $n^{\omega}k^{\omega}$  for the excited sample (Fig. 2b) was com-pared with the product  $n(\omega)k(\omega)$  for the unexcited sample (the spectral dependences  $n(\omega)$  and  $k(\omega)$  were taken from Ref. [\[11\]\)](#page-1-0). A good agreement of the curves in amplitude and position of the peaks qualitatively supports the hypothesis of a red shift.

When  $F_{\text{eff}}(t) \geq 0.12 \text{ J cm}^{-2}$ , GaAs changes to the state with  $n^{\omega}(0.12 \text{ J cm}^{-2}) \approx 3.4 \text{ and } k^{\omega}(0.12 \text{ J cm}^{-2}) \approx 6.3 \text{ (Fig. 2a)}.$ These values are close to the optical constants of the equilibrium liquid phase *l*-Si:  $n(1.5 \text{ eV}) = 3.3$ ,  $k(1.5 \text{ eV}) = 5.7$  [\[12\]](#page-1-0) (the corresponding data for the l-GaAs phase are unknown to us). This means that ultrafast nonthermal melting occurs in GaAs during a pump laser pulse. This statement is additionally supported by a good agreement of the threshold energy density for the formation of this phase  $F_{\text{eff}}(t) \approx$  $0.12$  J cm<sup>-2</sup> and the threshold value presented in Ref. [\[13\]](#page-1-0) for nonthermal melting of the material ( $F \approx 0.15$  J cm<sup>-2</sup> for 620-nm pump pulses and subpicosecond delays of a probe pulse).

In summary, our experimental data suggest the possibility of an ultrafast (during a 100-fs laser pulse) plasmainduced red shift of linear-absorption bands and the GaAs bandgap `collapse' along the [111] and [100] crystallographic directions with subsequent formation of a 'cold' metal liquid phase.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to D von der Linde, K Sokolowski-Tinten, and V V Temnov for their help in the organisation and performance of experiments. S I Kudryashov thanks the German Service of Academic Exchange for the support of this work  $(1999 - 2000 \text{ scholarship}).$ 

## References

- 1. Kudryashov S I, Emel'yanov V I Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 73 263 (2001)
- 2. Kopaev Yu V, Menyailenko V V, Molotkov S N Fiz. Tverd. Tela 27 3288 (1985)
- 3. Emel'yanov V I, Babak D V Fiz. Tverd. Tela 41 1462 (1999)
- 4. Stampfli P, [Bennemann](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.7163) K H Phys. Rev. B 42 7163 (1994)
- 5. Rulliere C (Ed.) Femtosecond Laser Pulses: Principles and Experiments (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1998)
- 6. Shank C V, Yen R, Hirliman C Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 454 (1983)
- 7. Govorkov S V, Emel'yanov V I, Shumay I L Laser Phys. 2 77 (1992)
- 8. [Sokolowski-Tinten](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.2643) K, von der Linde D Phys. Rev. B 61 2643 (2000)
- 9. Glezer E N, Siegal Y, [Huang](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.51.6959) L, et al. Phys. Rev. B 51 6959 (1995)
- 10. [Huang](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.185) L, Callan J P, Glezer E N, Mazur E Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 185 (1998)
- 11. Palik E D (Ed.) Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids (Orlando: Academic, 1985)
- 12. Shvarev K M, Baum B A, Gel'd N V Fiz. Tverd. Tela 16 3246 (1974)
- 13. Sokolowski-Tinten K, Bialkowski J, Boing M, et al. Phys. Rev. B 58 11805 (1998)